TWO NEW SPECIES OF *DIDYMODON* (POTTIACEAE, BRYOPHYTA) FROM ASIAN RUSSIA ДВА НОВЫХ ВИДА *DIDYMODON* (POTTIACEAE, BRYOPHYTA) ИЗ АЗИАТСКОЙ РОССИИ

ELENA A. IGNATOVA¹, VLADIMIR E. FEDOSOV^{1,2}, ALINA V. FEDOROVA³, OXANA I. KUZNETSOVA³ & MICHAEL S. IGNATOV^{1,3}

ЕЛЕНА А. ИГНАТОВА¹, ВЛАДИМИР Э. ФЕДОСОВ^{1,2}, АЛИНА В. ФЕДОРОВА³, ОКСАНА И. КУЗНЕЦОВА³, МИХАИЛ С. ИГНАТОВ^{1,3}

Abstract

An additional study of the specimens of *Didymodon* using a morpho-molecular approach resulted in the description of two species new to science from Asian Russia. *Didymodon ussuriensis* was described from the southern Russian Far East (Ussurijsky Reserve) and found also in Amur Province (Tukuringra Range) and Zabaikalsky Territory. *Didymodon sibiricus* was described from Yakutia (Suntar Khayata Range); it was also found in Taimyr Peninsula (Byrranga Range) and southern Siberia (Republics Altai, Tyva, and Buryatia, Zabaikalsky Territory). Descriptions, illustrations, distribution, ecological data, and comparison with similar species are provided.

Резюме

Дополнительное изучение образцов *Didymodon* из азиатской России с помощью морфологических и молекулярно-генетических методов позволило описать два новых для науки вида. *Didymodon ussuriensis* описан с юга российского Дальнего Востока (из Уссурийского заповедника) и найден также на хребте Лозовом в Приморье, в Амурской области (на хребте Тукурингра в Зейском заповеднике) и в Забайкальском крае. *Didymodon sibiricus* описан из Якутии (хребет Сунтар-Хаята); он найден также на полуострове Таймыр (хребет Бырранга) и на юге Сибири (Алтай, Тыва, Бурятия, Забайкальский край). Приводятся описания, иллюстрации, распространение и характеристика местообитаний, а также сравнение с морфологически сходными видами.

KEYWORDS: mosses, new species, ITS, trnG, molecular phylogeny

INTRODUCTION

The genus Didymodon s.l. with hundreds of names has been recently revised worldwide by Jiménez et al. (2016), who split it into eight genera. Even after that, Didymodon s.str. remains the largest genus in this group with nearly one hundred species, i.e. more than in all seven genera segregated from it (Brinda & Atwood, 2025). The level of the *Didymodon* knowledge remains uneven across different regions of the world. Jiménez (2006) revised Didymodon s.l. for the territory of Europe, North Africa, and Southwest Asia, accepting 30 species, and 30 species of Didymodon s.l. are also listed in the Checklist of European bryophytes (Hodgetts & Lockhart, 2020). The "Moss Flora of China" (English version) includes 19 species of Didymodon s.l. (Li et al., 2001); however, as much as 15 species of Didymodon were subsequently described from China (e.g., Zhao et *al.*, 2014, 2016, 2018; Kou *et al.*, 2018, 2019; Wu *et al.*, 2023; Jiménez *et al.*, 2016, 2024, *etc.*), and this genus is likely awaiting a summary revision in this region.

For Russia, 22 species of *Didymodon* s.l. were reported in the Checklist of East Europe and North Asia (Ignatov *et al.*, 2006); since then, new findings (Afonina *et al.*, 2010, 2016; Ignatov *et al.*, 2024; Afonina & Ignatova, 2024) and the new species description (Afonina & Ignatova, 2007) continuously increased the number of species. The recently applied morpho-molecular study of the genus in Russia resulted in the description of 6 new species and recording of 4 species new to Russia, with clarifying the distribution of many other species of the genus (Ignatova *et al.*, 2024). This raised the diversity of *Didymodon* s.l. in Russia up to 42 species.

Most of new species were found in *Didymodon* s. str., i. e. sensu Jiménez *et al.* (2022), where many recently

¹ – Lomonosov Moscow State University, Faculty of Biology, Plant Ecology and Geography Dept., Leninskie Gory Str. 1–12, Moscow 119234 Russia. E-mails: arctoa@list.ru; fedosov_v@mail.ru; misha_ignatov@list.ru. ORCID: (EI) 0000-0001-6287-5660, (VF) 0000-0002-5331-6346, (MI): 0000-0001-6096-6315

² – Botanical Garden-Institute, Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Makovskogo Street, 142, Vladivostok, 690024, Russia

³ – Tsitsin Main Botanical Garden, Russian Academy of Sciences, Botanicheskaya Str., 4, Moscow 127276 Russia. ORCID (OK): 0000-0002-5513-1329, (AF) 0000-0001-6096-6315



cherdantseviae OK3317



described Chinese species belong as well. This group was especially difficult due to enormous variation in their gametophyte morphology, which hampers the taxa separation without additional data from molecular markers. High molecular variation in *Didymodon* s. str. helped a lot in delimiting taxa within it; moreover, the infraspecific variation in the delimited species appeared to be relatively low and consistent with their morphology.

In the course of further identification of the abundant collections in MHA and MW, including the most problematic herbarium specimens, using the key and descriptions in Ignatova *et al.* (2024) we encountered several puzzling specimens. Therefore an additional study of them has been done using the same approach; the results of this study are presented here.

One of the objectives of the present study were three specimens similar to *Didymodon glaucus*¹ but differing from all other specimens from Russia in a number of features; their study includes an extended set of *D. glaucus* specimens from different regions of Russia. The second focus of the study is the specimens previously tentatively referred to *D.* cf. *daqingi* (Ignatova *et al.*, 2024).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Protocols of DNA extracting, PCR and sequencing were essentially the same as described in the previous Didymodon study (Ignatova et al., 2024). Newly obtained sequences were incorporated in the dataset used in that study. After first analyses performed following procedures described in Ignatova et al. (2024), the dataset was cut so that only groupings closely related to the taxa of the interest and those reflecting backbone phylogeny of Didymodon were retained. Four GenBank accessions of Trichostomopsis were included due to their morphological similarity with the target groups of specimens. After realigning, the final dataset of 82 terminals included 2653 positions, 1052 of nrITS, 1601 corresponded to the concatenation of three plastid loci, trnG intron, AtpB-rbcL spacer and trnL-trnF region, among which only for trnG intron original sequences were obtained from the target specimens. Protocols and settings of the phylogenetic analyses are the same as in Ignatova et al. (2024).

Specimen data and GenBank accession numbers for the newly sequenced specimens are provided in Appendix.

RESULTS

The trees inferred from the BA and ML analyses (Fig. 1) showed topologies similar to those presented by Ignatova *et al.* (2024); therefore, here we consider only affinities of the newly involved or reassessed groupings. Despite the sufficient variability among the ITS sequences, the accessions of *Trichostomopsis* form a completely supported clade sister to the *Didymodon* s.str. clade.

Accessions OK3467, 3470, 4061, 4062, 4111 referred

¹ The authors of taxa are in accordance to Brinda & Atwood (2025), so they are omitted in the text.

earlier to *D*. cf. *daqingii*, as well as newly added OK4160 and 4193 form a completely supported clade which is found in not supported sister position to the clade composed of *D*. *daqingii*, *D*. *hengduanensis*, *D*. *borealis*, *D*. *tibeticus*, and *D*. *icmadophilus*. This topology does not support referring specimens from Russia to *D*. *daqingi*, as it was tentatively done by Ignatova *et al*. (2024) due to their considerable morphological similarity to this species. However, further morphological study resulted in finding some additional distinctions between specimens from Asian Russia and *D*. *daqingi* described from Inner Mongolia, China. Thus, they are described below as a new species, *D*. *sibiricus*.

Accessions BF200, OK4165, and 4210 form a new clade as compared to the previously published topology, and its affinities within the "clade 5" (see Ignatova et al., 2004) are also weakly resolved, since neither its grouping with D. tectorum & D. desertorum & D. imbricatum clade nor the two deeper nodes comprising it got reasonable statistical support. On the other hand, a suite of newly added Russian specimens similar in leaf shape to specimens in question but having unistratose leaf margins, OK3491, 3494, 4228, and 4231-4235, were resolved as members of the completely supported D. glaucus clade within the "clade 3" of Didymodon s.str. Thus, taking into account a separate position in the molecular phylogenetic tree and morphological distinctions of these specimens in question, we describe them below as another new species, D. ussuriensis.

TAXONOMY

Didymodon sibiricus Ignatova & Fedosov, species nova. Fig. 2.

TYPE: Russia, Republic Sakha/Yakutia, Tomponsky District, Verkhoyansky Mountain system, Suntar-Khayata Range, ca. 63°07'N, 139°00'E, 980 m a.s.l., Sukhaya Creek, right bank, larch forest with *Chosenia* on low terrace, cliffs at slope base, on inclined rock surface, 23.VII.2015, *Ignatov & Ignatova 15-11* (Holotype MHA9108739!, isotype MW9035965!).

Diagnosis: the new species is similar to *Didymodon* daqingi J. Kou, R.H. Zander & C. Feng in having leaves with long, narrow acumina and long excurrent costae, occasionally fragile, and costae lacking ventral stereids, but differs from it in smaller leaves, partially bistratose lamina not only at margins, and smooth laminal cells.

Etymology: the species name corresponds to Siberia, a region of northern Asia where it is distributed.

Description. Plants small or medium-sized, in dense tufts, dark green or brownish-green, not glossy. Stems 1.0-1.5 cm long, simple or irregularly branched, with moderately large central strand. Leaves loosely appressed and slightly flexuose when dry, widely spreading when wet, $0.8-1.8\times0.3-0.4$ mm, moderately fragile or, rarer, not fragile, from ovate bases gradually or ±abruptly tapered into long, linear-lanceolate acumina, canaliculate distally, not decurrent at bases; margins slightly recurved on both sides



Fig. 2. *Didymodon sibiricus* Ignatova & Fedosov (from holotype). A: habit, dry; B: habit, wet; C: upper leaf cells; D: stem transverse section; E: median leaf cells; F–H: leaf transverse sections; I–M: leaves; N: basal leaf cells. Scale bars: 5 mm for B; 2 mm for A; 1 mm for I–M; 100 µm for C–H, N.

at proximal 1/2-3/4 of leaf, entire; costa moderately strong, 50–75 µm wide at leaf base, gradually narrowing upwards, long excurrent, strongly prominent dorsally, flat ventrally, with quadrate, smooth cells on both surfaces, without a pad of large, translucent cells on ventral surface below leaf apex, in transverse section semicircular, guide cells in 1-2(-3) layers, dorsal stereids in 1-3 layers, ventral stereids lacking or few substereids present in proximal part, ventral and dorsal epidermis differentiated; lamina partially bistratose distally at margins or near costa, or between costa and margins, unistratose in proximal part of leaf; cells in distal part of leaf rounded-quadrate and oblate, with angular lumina, 5-10×4-8 µm, smooth; basal leaf cells weakly differentiated, in few rows short rectangular, $15-25\times6-10$ µm, basal marginal cells guadrate and oblate. KOH reaction yellowish-green. Brood bodies absent. Dioicous, sporophytes unknown.

Distribution and ecology. Didymodon sibiricus is currently known from two close localities on Suntar-Khayata Mountain Range in Yakutia, on Byrranga Range in northern Taimyr (this is the northernmost known locality, at 74.47°N), and in several distant localities in southern Siberia: Republics Altai, Tyva, and Buryatia, and Zabaikalsky Territory. It was collected on outcrops on dry, open or forested slopes, in cliff niches, on siltstone and dolerite outcrops, at elevations 400–2100 m a.s.l.

Differentiation. Didymodon sibiricus has a considerable similarity to the described from China D. daqingi, and its specimens were previously referred to this species (Ignatova et al., 2024). They are similar in leaf shape, with short, ovate bases and long, linear lanceolate acumina, long excurrent costa, and partially bistratose lamina. However, they differ in plant size and size of leaves: in D. sibiricus, leaves are shorter and narrower [0.8–1.8 (-2.0)×0.3-0.4 mm vs 2.0-2.8×0.4-0.5 mm], costa is more slender (50-75 vs 75-105 µm wide at leaf base), leaf margins are only slightly recurved in midleaf (much stronger recurved to revolute in proximal 1/2-3/4 of leaf in D. daqingi; lamina cells are smooth in D. sibiricus (vs weakly papillose in D. daqingi), and it possesses bistratose patches in distal part of leaf not only at margins but also near costa, or only in midleaf (leaf lamina is described as bistratose only at margins in D. daqingi). Another species described from Inner Mongolia, China, D. manhanensis C. Feng & J. Kou, has also a certain similarity with D. daqingi and D. sibiricus in leaf shape,



fragility, and costa structure; its smooth cells provide an additional similarity with *D. sibiricus*. However, its leaves are larger, with wider bases $(1.3-2.3\times0.43-0.55 \text{ mm})$, leaf margins are stronger recurved, and leaf lamina is bistratose only at margins. Furthermore, it was resolved in an orphaned position in clade 5 of the molecular-phylogenetic tree (Ignatova *et al.*, 2024), far from the clade of *D. sibiricus*.

There are two other species of *Didymodon* in Asian Russia with leaves similar to *D. sibiricus* in shape, having long, linear-lanceolate acumina. One of them, *D. abramovae*, also occurs in the mountains of southern Siberia; it shares with *D. sibiricus* \pm fragile leaves and long exurrent costa. However, it differs in larger size of leaves (1.5–2.5×0.35–0.5 mm), which are erect when wet (spreading in *D. sibiricus*); its leaf laminae are always unistratose, and its costae possess one layer of guide cells and one layer of ventral stereids. Another species, *D. cherdantsevae*, shares with *D. sibiricus* leaves widely spreading when wet, of similar size (1.7–1.9×0.3–0.35

mm); it differs from it in having unistratose leaf laminae, weakly papillose leaf cells, and costa with one layer of guide cells and one layer of ventral stereids.

Additional specimens examined: RUSSIA: Yakutia: Oimyakon Distr., valley of Indigirka River, Ust-Nera Settl. surroundings, 6.VIII.2015, Ignatov & Ignatova 15-1607 (MHA9108746). Krasnoyarsk Territory: Taimyr Autonomous District, State Biosphere Reserve Taimyrsky, Ledyanaya Bay, 17.VII.2004, Fedosov Did1 (MW9035959). Altai Republic: Altaisky State Reserve, Karakem River basin, Kobiguayuk Creek, 14.VI.1989, Ignatov 0/441 (MHA9109024); Karakem River basin, Kukol, 24.VI.1989, Ignatov s.n., Mosses of USSR exs. #19 (MHA 9108720). Buryatia Republic: Tunkinskaya valley, Mondy Settl. surrounding, Khulugaisha Mt., Fedosov 23-0511 (MW). Zabaikalsky Territory: Kalar District, Kodar Range, 05.VIII.2012 Afonina 6612 (LE B-0047639); Kyra District, Sokhondinsky Biosphere Reserve, 08.VII.2010 Afonina A1010 (LE B-0047631).

Didymodon ussuriensis Ignatova & Fedosov, species nova. Fig. 3.

TYPE: Russia, southern part of Primorsky Territory, Ussurijsky State Reserve, 43.638943°N, 132.556431°E, ca. 177 m a.s.l., vicinity of Peyshula Field station, Zmeinaya Mt. northern slope. On cliff. 19.VIII.2022, *Fedosov* 21-02-11 (Holotype MW9131243!, isotype MHA9132130!).

Diagnosis: the new species is similar to *Didymodon* glaucus Ryan in plant habit and leaf shape but differs from it in having leaves with 2–3(–4)-layered lamina in 1–4 marginal rows, basal laminal cells weakly differentiated, and in absence of brood bodies.

Etymology: the species name refers to the collecting locality of the type specimen, Ussurijsky Reserve and the name of the river Ussuri, which means 'black as soot" in Manchu.

Description. Plants small to medium-sized, in loose or dense tufts, green or dark-green above, occasionally slightly glaucous, light brownish below, not glossy. Stems 0.7-1.5 cm long, repeatedly branched, with large central strand. Leaves loosely appressed, slightly flexuose when dry in proximal parts of shoots, upper leaves somewhat crisped, widely spreading when wet, 1.7-2.3×0.18-0.32 mm, linear lanceolate to linear, widely keeled distally, with narrow, 2-3 cells wide, 3-4-layered, round in transverse section apical portions 0.1-0.3 mm long, not decurrent at bases; margins plane or weakly recurved in mid-leaf, entire; costa strong, 65-80 µm wide at leaf base, weakly tapering upwards, percurrent, strongly prominent dorsally, flat ventrally, with quadrate cells on both surfaces, papillose on ventral side, without a pad of large, translucent cells below leaf apex, smooth or weakly papillose on dorsal side, semicircular in transverse section, guide cells in 2 layers, 2-5 cells in each layer, dorsal stereid band 1-2-layered, ventral stereid band absent, ventral and dorsal epidermis differentiated; lamina unistratose near costa and in midleaf, with 1-4 rows of 2-3(-4)-stratose cells at margins; cells in distal part of leaves round, short elliptic and oblate, 6-10×µm, with moderately thickened, straight walls, with 1-4 low, bifurcate papillae on both surfaces; basal laminal cells weakly differentiated, chlorophyllose, rectangular, $20-40 \times 9-11 \,\mu\text{m}$, smooth, with moderately thickened walls. KOH reaction yellowishgreen or yellow. Brood bodies absent. Dioicous, sporophytes unknown.

Distribution and ecology. In addition to the type locality in Ussurijsky Reserve, *Didymodon ussuriensis* was repeatedly collected in Primorsky Territory on Lozovy Range and found once on Russkij Island. It was also revealed in collections from Amur Province (Tukuringra Range in Zeisky Reserve) and from Zabaikalsky Territory (Daursky Reserve). It grows on dry calcareous rocks and outcrops in oak and birch forests; it was also collected on marble blocks on the bank of Zeya reservoir.

Differentiation. Didymodon ussuriensis is habitually similar to *D. glaucus* in having linear-lanceolate leaves crisped at shoot tips in dry condition, occasionally also with glaucous tint. These two species share a specific structure of leaf tips, 2–3 cells wide, 3–4-layered, round in transverse section. However, *D. ussuriensis* possesses strongly thickened leaf margins, 2–3(–4)-layered in 1–4

rows of cells, flat or weakly recurved at short distance at mid-leaf, whereas in D. glaucus leaf margins are always unistratose and recurved. Also D. ussuriensis lacks brood bodies (in D. glaucus they are frequently numerous), and its basal laminal cells are poorly differentiated, chlorophyllose. The latter character distinguishes it also from D. australaisiae, which shares with it having 2-3-stratose leaf margins; D. australaisiae has shorter, lanceolate leaves, costa with a pad of large, translucent cells on ventral surface below leaf apex, and rhizoidal tubers. The latter species is resolved in the molecular-phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1) in the clade representing the genus Trichostomopsis sensu Jiménez et al. (2022), whereas Didymodon ussuriensis is found in the terminal clade of Didymodon s.str. There is some resemblance between D. ussuriensis and D. sibiricus in leaf shape, as they have long and narrow, liner-lanceolate leaves with partially bistratose lamina, costa with guide cells in 2-3 layers, lacking ventral stereids; however, D. sibiricus possesses wider leaf bases, 0.3-0.4 mm wide (vs 0.20-0.35 mm wide), leaf laminae with bistratose patches not only at margins, and smooth laminal cells (densely papillose in D. ussuriensis).

Additional specimens examined: RUSSIA: Zabaikalsky Territory: Borzya District, Daursky Reserve, 16.VII.2010 E.S. Prelovskaya (LE B-0047621). Amur Province: Zeysky Reserve, Zeya Reservoir coast between Razvedochny and Sukhoy Gulfs, outcrops, S.V. Dudov & M.N. Kozhin 2016_Br_1006 (MW9111441). Primorsky Territory: Partizansk District, Lozovy Range, Ignatov, Ignatova & Cherdantseva 06-2814 (MHA9109004); Russkij Island, vicinity of Melkovodnaya Bay, Fedosov 24-351-18 (MW9133389).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We cordially thank O.M. Afonina for sharing with us information on specimens from LE. The work of E.A. Ignatova, V.E. Fedosov and A.V. Fedorova was supported by the RSF project 23-14-00043 (field studies, laboratory work and sequencing, phylogenetic analysis and manuscript preparation). The study of Ignatov was carried out within MBG RAS institutional research project no.122042700002-6, and of Kuznetsova no.122042500074-5.

LITERATURE CITED

- AFONINA, O.M. & E.A. IGNATOVA. 2007. A new species of *Didymo*don (Pottiaceae, Musci) from Asian Russia. – Arctoa 16: 133–138.
- AFONINA, O.M. & E.A. IGNATOVA. 2024. On the first record of *Didy-modon tibeticus* J.Kou, X.M.Shao & C.Feng (Pottiaceae, Bryophyta) in Russia. Arctoa 33(2): 156–159.
- AFONINA, O.M., I.V. CZERNYADJEVA, E.A. IGNATOVA & J. KUČERA. 2010. Five species of *Didymodon* (Pottiaceae, Bryophyta) new for Russia. – *Arctoa* 19: 51–62.
- AFONINA, O.M., I.V. CZERNYADJEVA, E.A. IGNATOVA & J. KUČERA. 2016. *Didymodon australasiae* (Pottiaceae, Bryophyta), a new species for Russia. – Arctoa 25(1): 116–118.
- BRINDA, J.C. & J.J. ATWOOD. 2025. The Bryophyte Nomenclator Didymodon. Available online: https://www.bryonames.org/ nomenclator?group=Didymodon (accessed on 15 March 2025).
- HODGETTS, N.G. & N. LOCKHART. 2020. Checklist and country status of European bryophytes – update 2020. – Irish Wildlife Manuals 123: 1–214.

- IGNATOV, M.S., A.V. FEDOROVA, E.I. IVANOVA & E.A. IGNATO-VA. 2024. *Didymodon gaochienii* (Pottiaceae, Bryophyta) in Russia. – Arctoa 33(1): 61–70.
- IGNATOV, M.S., O.M. AFONINA, E.A. IGNATOVA, A.A. ABOLINA, T.V. AKATOVA, E.Z. BAISHEVA, L.V. BARDUNOV, E.A. BARY-AKINA, O.A. BELKINA, A.G. BEZGODOV, M.A. BOYCHUK, V. YA. CHERDANTSEVA, I.V. CZERNYADJEVA, G.YA. DOROSHI-NA, A.P. DYACHENKO, V.E. FEDOSOV, I.L. GOLDBERG, E.I. IVANOVA, I. JUKONIENE, L. KANNUKENE, S.G. KAZANOVSKY, Z.KH. KHARZINOV, L.E. KURBATOVA, A.I. MAKSIMOV, U.K. MAMATKULOV, V.A. MANAKYAN, O.M. MASLOVSKY, M.G. NAPREENKO, T.N. OTNYUKOVA, L.YA. PARTYKA, O.YU. PISARENKO, N.N. POPOVA, G.F. RYKOVSKY, D.YA. TUBANO-VA, G.V. ZHELEZNOVA & V.I. ZOLOTOV. 2006. Check-list of mosses of East Europe and North Asia. – *Arctoa* 15: 1–130.
- IGNATOVA, E.A., V.E. FEDOSOV, O.I. KUZNETSOVA, A.V. FEDOR-OVA & M.S. IGNATOV. 2024. On the genus *Didymodon* s.str. (Pottiaceae, Bryophyta) in Russia. Arctoa 33(2): 129–155.
- JIMÉNEZ, J.A. 2006. Taxonomic revision of the genus *Didymodon* Hedw. (Pottiaceae, Bryophyta) in Europe, North Africa, and Southwest and Central Asia. – *Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory* 100: 211–292.
- JIMÉNEZ, J.A., D.G. LONG, J.R. SHEVOCK & J. GUERRA. 2016. Didymodon hengduanensis (Bryophyta, Pottiaceae), a new species from the Hengduan Mountains, southwestern China. – Phytotaxa 275(3): 287–293.
- JIMÉNEZ, J.A., M.J. CANO & J. GUERRA. 2022. A multilocus phylogeny of the moss genus *Didymodon* and allied genera (Pottiaceae): Generic delimitations and their implications for systematics. – *Journal of Systematics and Evolution* 60(2): 281–304.

- JIMÉNEZ, J.A., M.J. CANO & Y. INOUE. 2024. Didymodon schensianus (Pottiaceae, Bryophyta), a new combination for a widespread, but overlooked taxon in East Asia. – Plant Biosystems 158(1): 210– 217.
- KOU, J., C. FENG & X.-M. SHAO. 2018. *Didymodon tibeticus* (Bryophyta, Pottiaceae), a new species from Tibet, China. – *Nova Hedwigia* 106(1–2): 73–80.
- KOU, J., R.H. ZANDER & C. FENG. 2019. Didymodon daqingii (Pottiaceae, Bryophyta), a new species from Inner Mongolia, China. – Annales Botanici Fennici 56(1–3): 87–93.
- LI, X.-J., S. HE & Z. IWATSUKI. 2001. Pottiaceae. In: Moss Flora of China, English Version. Vol.2. Science Press & Missouri Botanical Garden, Beijing, New York & St. Louis. Pp. 114–249.
- WU, T.-T., C. FENG, T. BIAN, G.-L. ZHANG, J. KOU & H.-X. XIAO. 2023. *Didymodon changbaiensis* (Pottiaceae, Musci), a new species from Changbai Mountain, China and its phylogenetic position based on molecular data. – *PhytoKeys* 221: 147–159.
- ZHAO, D.-P., J.-N. WANG & X.-D. ZHAO. 2014. *Didymodon baii* (Pottiaceae), a new moss species from China. – Annales Botanici Fennici 51(3): 185–188.
- ZHAO, D.-P., S. MAMTIMIN & S. HE. 2018. Didymodon kunlunensis D.P.Zhao, S.Mamtimin & S.He (Pottiaceae), a new species from Xinjiang, China. – Journal of Bryology 40(2): 120–124.
- ZHAO, D.-P., T.-R. ZHANG, X.-L. BAI & D.-M. REN. 2016. Didymodon mongolicus (Bryophyta, Pottiaceae), a new species from Mongolian Plateau. – Bangladesh Journal of Plant Taxonomy 23(2): 175– 180.

Received 30 March 2025

Accepted 28 May 2025

Appendix. Specimen voucher information and GenBank accession numbers for the newly obtained sequences of Didymodon.

Species	Isolate	Location	Specimen voucher	ITS	trnG
D. glaucus	OK3491	Russia: Zabaikalsky Territory	Afonina 7411, LE	PV624863	_
D. glaucus	OK3494	Russia: Zabaikalsky Territory	Afonina 7411, LE	PV624864	_
D. glaucus	OK4231	Russia: Irkutsk Province, Slyudyanka	Ignatov & Kazanovsky s.n., MHA9108693	PV624866	PV613702
D. glaucus	OK4232	Russia: Yakutia, Khangalassky District	Ivanova 107005, MW9078137	PV624867	PV613703
D. glaucus	OK4233	Russia: Ingushetia	Bersanova 18-292, MW9091157	PV624868	PV613704
D. glaucus	OK4234	Russia: Perm Territory	Bezgodov 235, MW9090559	PV624869	PV613705
D. glaucus	OK4235	Russia: Buryatia, Baikal Lake	Czernyadjeva 35-14, MW9007680	PV624870	PV613706
D. sibiricus	OK4160	Russia: Altai, Seminsky Range	Ignatov & Ignatova 21-52, MHA9130509	PV624861	PV613700
D. sibiricus	OK4193	Russia: Taimyr, Ledyanaya Bay	Fedosov Did5, MW9035960	PV624860	PV613699
D. ussuriensis	BF200	Russia: Primorsky Territory, Ussurijsky Reserve	Fedosov 21-02-11, MW9131243	PV62487	PV613713
D. ussuriensis	OK4165	Russia: Amurskaya Province, Zeya	Dudov & Kozhin 2016_Br_1006, MW9111441	PV624877	PV613712
D. ussuriensis	OK4210	Russia: Primorsky Territory, Lozovy Range	Malashkina & Ivanov Pr-8-30-13, MHA	PV624879	PV613714